

dust water or spirit energy you judge yes a ORB p

Posted by norman glasser - 07 Mar 2012 18:19

this particular house has had three suicides in it that I know of,It remains abandoned for good reason anyway I think so. the first picture is taken without calling spirit out the second one is after calling spirit out maybe 5 min we repeated this over several days with the same effect for me this was conformation of spirit energy that was present.

=====

Re: dust water or spirit energy you judge yes a ORB p

Posted by Steven Matrix - 09 Mar 2012 16:23

crystalcross wrote:

Which I think all relates back to the fact that even if you've caught the most unbelievably fabulous event ever on a photograph, and it was real as real can be. If that photograph can be duplicated by other means, then its not a reliable means of documenting an event.

I think that really is what we're all basically saying. It's not a reflection of the validity or invalidity of the event itself, but rather the means of recording it.

When you get right down to it, the only person or persons who really have first hand of a paranormal event are those who were there when it happened. Where the real trick comes in, is in documenting that event in such a way that it minimizes the possibility of contamination. This in turn is compounded by the fact that most true paranormal events don't happen on queue. So its seldom a situation where you can say "Lights, Camera, Action!" and record a paranormal event. If only it were that easy at times.

Another key factor (and I think this is where a lot of people steer in the wrong direction) is that you want to do exactly that "DOCUMENT A PARANORMAL EVENT". So often I see photographs taken in hopes of spotting something paranormal which was not there at the time of the recording of the photograph. I personally think that's the wrong approach.

Actually Norman, I think what you're trying to do in some of your other photographs taken of you when you are contacting spirits, is amazing. I think you're basically on the right track with that and I'm totally fascinated by that line of exploration. You have a verifiable paranormal event taking place that you're merely trying to photograph in some way. And that is the perfect way to go about it. Then its just a matter of finding the optimal method for trying to record that event in a way that gets you the clearest definition.

But as for the practice of taking pictures, with a flash, and looking to see what appears. I personally can not get on board with that. Its basically fishing, and there are too many possibilities for false positives to make the real positives valuable.

I'm in the IT security field (among many other things). And I can relate this to that field in many ways. Especially to the aspect of "SPAM" detection and removal from E-Mail. There are many filters out there that attempt to identify spam but the balance of detecting valid E-Mails that just look like spam, to real spam that looks like valid E-Mails is just so difficult it renders that method of detection almost useless. I think the same happens here with Orb pictures.

Our efforts could be spent so much better by going down a path which would yield better positive evidence. And that evidence would in turn be accepted by more individuals.

The orb factor is making headlines here on G & H once again. I say you and I get together for a short workshop video to show what we're talking about.

=====

Re: dust water or spirit energy you judge yes a ORB p

Posted by Peek-A-Boo - 09 Mar 2012 16:43

people call in investigators because something creeps them out about their space so if an investigator proves/debunks orbs, etc you raised their comfort zone and IMO did their job. If there is an entity, again IMHO, it is usually a personal experience hardly ever recorded on photo or voice recorded. An intelligent entity seems focused on certain people and will not respond on command by others

=====